Voluntary Industry Reporting Form for 6 (a) (2) Adverse Effects Incident Information. Provide all Known, required information. If Required data field information is unknown, designate as such in appropriate area.

Reporter Name	Submission Date	Contact Person	Internal ID
		SUNDEE WILLIAMS	MJR0G1717494
JULESBURG ,CO 80737 Phone# Unknown		Address 2 T.W. ALEXANDER DR. RTP, NC, 27709	
		Phone# (919) 549-2255	
Incident Status	Date of Incident	Date registrant became aware of incident	Was incident part of a larger study?
1992.13	10/16/2017	10/16/2017	NO
Pesticide(s) Invo	olved		
EPA Registration # (Product 1) 264-809		EPA Registration # (Product 2) N/A	EPA Registration # (Product 3) N/A
A.I.(s)		A.I.(s)	A.I.(s)
PROPOXYCARBAZONE-SODIUM Product 1 Name OLYMPUS 70DF		N/A Product 2 Name N/A	N/A Product 3 Name N/A
Exposed to Concentrate prior to dllution?		Exposed to Concentrate prior to dilution?	Exposed to Concentrate prior to dilution?
NO		NO	NO
Formulation WATER DISPERSIBLE GRANULES		Formulation N/A	Formulation N/A

Evidence Label directors were not followed? NO Intentional misuse?	Incident Site: (ex. Including Home, yard, school, industrial, nursery/greenhouse, surface water, commercial turf, building/office, forest/woods, agricultural, (specify crop), right-of-way (rail, utility, highway)). AGRICULTURAL FIELD WINTER WHEAT	Situation (act of using product): (ox include mixing/loading, reentry, application, transportation, repair/maintenance of application equipment, manufacturing/formulation) APPLICATION
How exposed: (ex. include direct contact with treated surface, ingestion, spill, drift, runoff)	Brief Description of Incident circumstance ALLEGED PHYTOTOXIC REACTION TO PR WINTER WHEAT CROP.	

Voluntary Industry Reporting Form for 6 (a) (2) incident Information Involving Fish, Wildlife, Plants, or Other Non-Target Org.

Provide all Known, required information. If Required data field information is unknown, designate as such in appropriate area.

Information			
Lists species affected WINTER WHEAT	List Symptoms or adverse effects POOR ROOTING, SLOW GROWTH PROGRESS AND		
Number of acres effected per species	STUNTING		
95.00			

Magnitude of effect 65.00% Pesticide application rate per acre 0.90 OZ Application Method POST-BROADCAST

If Plant, Plant type WINTER WHEAT Intended use site AGRICULTURAL FIELD

If lab test(s) performed, list name of tests and results (Submit laboratory report(s) is available

Distance from Treatment site NONE

Description of the habitat and the circumstances under which the incident occurred AGRICULTURAL FIELD - COMMERCIAL APPLICATION OF PRODUCT Fish, wildlife, plant, other non-target organism severity categories

This box can be used to provide any explanatory or Qualifying information surrounding the incident. (add additional pages if necessary).

Program (Map the Info Below)

	Product	Rate/Acre	UOM
Bayer Pesticide 1	OLYMPUS 70WDG 6X27 OUNCE CASE	0.90	oz
Bayer Pesticide 2			
Bayer Pesticide 3			
Other Pesticide 1		0.00	
Other Pesticide 2		0.00	
Other Pesticide 3		0.00	A STATE OF THE STA
Adjuvant/Additive 1		0.00	
Adjuvant/Additive 2		0.00	
Adjuvant/Additive 3		0.00	

Comments/Descriptions

Brief Description of Incident circumstances

Notes

Probable Causes

EPA Reporting Questions and Answers	
Does the report allege phytotoxicity to non-target plants(runoff, carryover)? *	N
1a. If yes, what was affected(runoff,carryover,treated plants)?	
1b. If yes, were the non-target plants present at the site and time of the applications?	N
to. If yes, was there an explicit label warning regarding phylotoxicity to the non-target plants?	N
2 Does the report allege drift? *	N
2a. If yes, was the alleged drift consistant with anticipated drift?	N
2b. If yes, acres affected by the drift?	0.00
2c. If yes, what was affected?	
2d. If yes, distance of affected area from treated area?	0.00
2e. If yes, what plant species was affected?	
3. Does the Report allege phytotoxicity in the treated crop? *	N
3a. If yes, was the alleged phytotoxicity in the treated crop?	N
3b. If yes, and the alleged phytotoxicity was non-lethal, was there an explicit label warning?	N
4. Does the report allege a toxic effect to pests non-specified on the label?	N

5. Does the report allege or involve a secondary pest infestation?	N
6. Exposed to Concentrate?	N
7. Were tissue samples analyzed?	N

The tested wheat samples came back positive for Glyphosate. Thus tank contamination, not the Olympus, is the primary cause for crop response. See attached laboratory test results.